To: Richland Planning Office

Fm: P. A. Eddy Paul A Eddy

RE: Comments on Performance Standards

After reviewing the draft performance standards (chapter 23.72), I have the following comments:

- Under item 23.72.040 titled Noise, I would recommend that the classes listed under (1) a,b,c be changed to comply with the zoning nomenclature currently used by the city.

- By using zoning nomenclature the table under Environmental Designation for Noise Abatement would compare classes against zoning instead of the present class against class

- Pg. 3, (5)a. Is there a firing range within the city limits? If one in fact exists it should have some sort of noise control so that extremes, cannons, etc., cannot be discharged.

- Pg. 3, (6)a. Noise from new electrical substations and new stationary equipment should be covered by the standards. The statement exempts existing and all electrical substations.

- Pg. 4, (8)a11. It sounds (pun) like we can do anything for a short time interval except amplifing a human voice. That is to say, there can be a parade but no loud speakers.

- Pg 5. Recommend that the classes in the table be changed to zoning nomenclature.

- Pg. 6. It appears that city lighting maybe in violation of this part of the performance standards as it relates to illumination. 0.5 candle power at lot lines is very low and residential street lighting probably exceeds this limit.

- Pg. 8. The implied agreement to pay should also apply to other persons or groups who do not apply for a license to do business. Specifically, the City of Richland. If a citizen of the city wants to apply these standards to an operation which the city is performing there must be some way to recover the costs. If this occurs, does it mean that the city would check its self to see if it is in compliance? If so, it appears that it would be a conflict of interest. There needs to be a clean cut method for the general public to apply these standards through other than the city. ie. the Washington State Department of Ecology.

- Pg. 10. In the last paragraph it would appear that there should be some method that the general public of Richland should be able to have implemented, under this standard, a corrective action. It is not present in this document.

Overall the standards appear to have had a lot of work put into them and they are something that the city needs. Thank you for allowing me the privilege of reviewing them. I hope that the comments that I made are of some value.